



Quality and Cost-Savings Outcomes of Community Health Worker Interventions

State Program Approach	Intervention	Population	Return
Return on Investment			
• Wilder Research Study	Outreach cost analysis	Cancer patients	ROI: \$2.30: 1
• Boston Study	Health Exchanges	Poor Latinos	More enrolled
• Denver Study	Preventive and Primary care	Underserved males	ROI: \$2.28 : 1
• El Paso, Texas Study	Health Exchanges	Poor, immigrants	7,000 more enrolled
• Seattle-King County	Home environmental assessment	Rural, low-income children	\$1,124 per child/per year
Utilization / Quality-Of-Life			
• Hawaii Study	ER Visits	Asthma patients	78% lower costs
• West Baltimore Study	ER Visits	Underserved patients	40% fewer ER visits
• New England Study	Home Visits	Maternal/Child health	Higher development quotient, shorter metabolic control
• Seattle, Washington Study	Follow-up with patients	Hypertension	18% higher follow-up visits
• Los Angeles & San Diego Study	Individual Counseling Sessions vs. Education Classes	Cardiovascular disease	Significant changes in systolic, diastolic blood pressures, and physical activity
• Single Good Quality Study	Individual case management, primary care, public health resources	Post-incarcerates	Less use of ER
• Colorado, California, Arkansas Study	Breast Cancer	Rural, low-to-moderate income, and minority women	Increased adherence with breast cancer screening

Source: <http://cepac.icer-review.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/04/CHW-Draft-Report-05-24-13-MASTER1.pdf>

